# Synthesis of Hexa(furan-2-yl)benzenes and Their  $\pi$ -Extended **Derivatives**

Koichi Mitsudo,\*,† Jyunji Harada,† Yo Tanaka,† Hiroki Mandai,† Chie Nishioka,† Hideo Tanaka,† Atsushi Wakam[iya](#page-4-0),‡ Yasujiro Murata,‡ and Seiji Suga\*,†

† Division of Chemistry and Biotechnology, Graduate School of N[atu](#page-4-0)ral Science and Technology, Okayama University, 3-1-1 Tsushima-naka, Kita-ku, Okayama 700-8530, Japan

‡ Institute for Chemical Research, Kyoto University, Uji, Kyoto 611-0011, Japan

## **S** Supporting Information

[ABSTRACT:](#page-4-0) The first synthesis of hexa(furan-2-yl)benzene derivatives is described. The  $RhCl<sub>3</sub>/i-Pr<sub>2</sub>NEt-catalyzed cyclo$ trimerization of di(furan-2-yl)acetylenes was an effective method for constructing hexa(furan-2-yl)benzene derivatives in good yields. Their  $\pi$ -extended derivatives were also synthesized by Suzuki−Miyaura coupling between hexakis(5- Bpinfuran-2-yl)benzene (Bpin = (pinacolato)boryl) and several aryl iodides.

 $\Gamma$ uran is an important skeleton that is commonly observed in nature.<sup>1</sup> Over the past decade, furan has also attracted attention as a key building block for  $\pi$ -conjugated compounds that have the [po](#page-4-0)tential for application in organic materials such as semiconductors<sup>2</sup> and photovoltaics.<sup>3</sup> Therefore, a facile access to a variety of  $\pi$ -conjugated furans is an important research field in [or](#page-4-0)ganic chemistry. In [a](#page-4-0)ddition, two-dimensional aromatic cores, such as starburst hexaarylbenzene derivatives,<sup>4</sup> have been synthesized, and their electrochemical and optical properties have been intensively studied.<sup>5</sup> In particular, [M](#page-5-0)üllen and co-workers have reported several studies o[n](#page-5-0) this topic.<sup>6</sup> However, there have been a few reports on the synthesis of hexaheteroarylbenzenes. For instance, there have been few re[po](#page-5-0)rts on the preparation of hexathienylbenzene, $\bar{7}$ hexapyridylbenzene,<sup>8</sup> and hexapyrrolylbenzene<sup>9</sup> derivatives and no report on hexafurylbenzenes, although they should serve [as](#page-5-0) novel fascinating b[uil](#page-5-0)ding blocks (Scheme 1)[.](#page-5-0) Additionally, to the best of our knowledge, the crystal structures of these heteroarylbenzenes have not yet been repo[rte](#page-1-0)d, except for that of hexa(1-pyrrolyl)benzene,  $9c$  and there is no information available on the conformations of other hexaheteroarylbenzenes, which should be i[mpo](#page-5-0)rtant from the perspective of material science.

While one of the most straightforward ways for constructing hexaarylbenzenes is the transition-metal-catalyzed cyclotrimerization of diarylacetylenes, $10$  this method is usually not suitable for use with hexaheteroarylbenzenes. The difficulty of the synthesis of hexaheter[oa](#page-5-0)rylbenzenes is caused by the competitive dimerization of the internal alkynes (Scheme 2). Weber reported that the reaction of di(thiophene-2-yl) acetylene cataly[ze](#page-1-0)d by  $RuH_2(CO)(PPh_3)$ <sub>3</sub> gave a dimerized



product, a benzothiophene derivative, as the major product, and hexa(thiophene-2-yl)benzene was obtained in only 5% yield.<sup>7a</sup> To avoid this problem, several methods have been reported. Müllen reported that the Co-catalyzed cyclotrimerization [of](#page-5-0) di(thiophene-2-yl)acetylene bearing a long alkyl chain at the  $\alpha$ position of thiophenes proceeded to give hexa(thiophene-2 yl)benzene in good yield.<sup>7b</sup> Lin and Tao reported a tandem Kosugi−Migita−Stille coupling between tributyl(thiophene-2 yl)stannane and hexabro[mo](#page-5-0)benzene.<sup>7c</sup> For the synthesis of hexapyrrolylbenzene, Meijer reported an elimination−additiontype reaction using 1-pyrrolylsodium [an](#page-5-0)d hexafluorobenzene.<sup>9c</sup> Breslow reported another type of transformation of hexa- (pyridin-4-yl)benzene, which was synthesized by the reducti[ve](#page-5-0) dimerization of a cyclopentene intermediate.<sup>8a</sup>

We recently reported an efficient method for the synthesis of hexa(thienyl)benzene derivatives by  $RhCl<sub>3</sub>/i-Pr<sub>2</sub>NEt-catalyzed$  $RhCl<sub>3</sub>/i-Pr<sub>2</sub>NEt-catalyzed$  $RhCl<sub>3</sub>/i-Pr<sub>2</sub>NEt-catalyzed$ cyclotrimerization of dithienylacetylenes and found that they are potent candidates for capacitor materials.<sup>7d,e,11</sup> In the present study, we turned our attention to the synthesis of hexa(furan-2-yl)benzenes, and we report here the [fi](#page-5-0)[rst s](#page-5-0)ynthesis and X-ray single-crystal analyses of hexa(furan-2-yl)benzene derivatives.

We first carried out the synthesis of hexa(furan-2-yl)benzene (2a) by cyclotrimerization of di(furan-2-yl)acetylene (1a) (Table 1). Optimization of the catalyst revealed that the combination of  $RhCl<sub>3</sub>·3H<sub>2</sub>O$  and *i*-Pr<sub>2</sub>NEt was essential for the reaction[. I](#page-1-0)n the presence of a catalytic amount of  $RhCl<sub>3</sub>·3H<sub>2</sub>O$  $(8 \text{ mol } \%)$  and *i*-Pr<sub>2</sub>NEt  $(30 \text{ mol } \%)$ , the cyclotrimerization of

Received: December 19, 2012 Published: February 25, 2013

# <span id="page-1-0"></span>Scheme 1. Hexaarylbenzenes and Hexaheteroarylbenzenes



Scheme 2. Competition between the Trimerization and Dimerization of Diheteroarylacetylenes



1a proceeded smoothly to give 2a in 50% yield (entry 1). In a similar manner, the cyclotrimerization of bis(5-methylfuran-2 yl)acetylene (1b) afforded hexakis(5-methylfuran-2-yl)benzene (2b) in 81% yield (entry 2). Compound 2c bearing six (pinacolato)boryl (Bpin) moieties could also be obtained in 80% yield from a di(furan-2-yl)acetylene bearing two Bpin moieties (1c) (entry 3). Increasing the amount of 1c to 2.5 mmol, the yield of 2c decreased to 55%. The structures of 2a−c were confirmed by X-ray single crystal analyses (Figure 1). To the best of our knowledge, 2a−c are the first hexafurylbenzene derivatives to be synthesized, and this is the first report [o](#page-2-0)n the crystal structures of hexa(2-heteroaryl)benzenes.

NMR analyses of 2a−c exhibited that their six furan rings, and the six substituents at the 5-position of the furan rings were equivalent on the NMR time scale. In contrast, X-ray analyses revealed that their crystal structures were different. For instance, X-ray analysis of 2b revealed that it has a  $C_2$ -

Table 1. Rh-Catalyzed  $[2 + 2 + 2]$  Cyclization of Difurylalkyne  $1<sup>a</sup>$ 

R n	R	RhCl <sub>3</sub> ·3H <sub>2</sub> O $i$ -Pr <sub>2</sub> NEt toluene reflux, 24 h	R R	$\cdot$ R R R 2
entry	R(1)		$\mathbf{2}$	yield $^b$ (%)
$\mathbf{1}$	H(1a)		2a	50
$\overline{2}$	Me(1b)		2 <sub>b</sub>	81
3	Bpin (1c)		2c	80 $(55)^c$

<sup>a</sup>Reaction conditions: 1 (0.5 mmol), RhCl<sub>3</sub>:3H<sub>2</sub>O (8 mol %), *i*-Pr<sub>2</sub>NEt (30 mol %), toluene (2 mL), reflux, 24 h.  $b^b$ Isolated yield. CPerformed with 2.5 mmol of 1c.

symmetric structure in the crystal, and there are two kinds of nonequivalent furan rings. The two furan rings associated with the p-position were nearly perpendicular to the benzene ring, and the dihedral angles were 82°. The four other furan rings leaned toward the benzene ring with a dihedral angle of 42°. The optimized structures calculated by density functional theory (DFT) at the  $B3LYP/6-31G(d)$  level were similar to the X-ray structures (Figure 2). At the HOMO-level,  $\pi-\pi$ conjugation was observed between the four leaning furan rings and the benzene. The[se](#page-2-0) results suggest that the six furan rings of 2 freely rotated in solution and were fixed in a conjugated structure in crystal form.

To expand the synthetic utility of the reaction, we next converted hexakis(5-Bpinfuran-2-yl)benzene  $(2c)$  to hexakis(5arylfuran-2-yl)benzenes by Suzuki−Miyaura coupling (Table

 $\overline{a}$ 

<span id="page-2-0"></span>

Figure 1. ORTEP drawings of 2a (left top), 2b (right top), and 2c (bottom) (50% probability ellipsoids; hydrogen atoms omitted for clarity).



Figure 2. Kohn−Sham HOMO and LUMO of 2a (a) and 2b (b) calculated at the B3LYP/6-31G(d) level of theory.

2). In the presence of  $Pd(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub>$  (10 mol %) and base (0.6 mmol), 2c (0.05 mmol) was treated with iodobenzene 3a (0.35 mmol) in 0.5 mL of DME at 100 °C. When NaOAc or  $\text{Na}_2\text{CO}_3$ was used as a base, the desired coupling product was not obtained at all (entries 1 and 2). Screening of the base revealed that  $Cs_2CO_3$  was an effective base for the reaction, and hexaphenyl-substituted hexa(furan-2-yl)benzene (4a) was obtained in 48% yield (entry 3). In the next step, we evaluated different Pd(0) complexes as catalysts and found that the use of  $Pd[P(t-Bu)<sub>3</sub>]$ <sub>2</sub> slightly increased the yield of 4a (50% yield,



<sup>a</sup>Reaction conditions: 2c (0.05 mmol), iodobenzene 3a (0.35 mmol), Pd catalyst (10 mol %), base (0.6 mmol), solvent (0.5 mL), 100 °C, 24 h. <sup>b</sup>Isolated yield. <sup>c</sup>Not detected. <sup>d</sup>Performed in 1.0 mL of solvent.

entry 4). The concentration of the reaction mixture also highly influenced the reaction. With 1.0 mL of DME, the yield of 4a dramatically increased to 70%. Screening of the solvent was examined next (entries 5−8). In the presence of water, protonated byproducts were observed and the yield of 4a decreased (entry 5). DMF was similarly an effective solvent, and 4a was obtained in 56% yield (entry 6). In contrast, toluene and 1,4-dioxane were ineffective solvents in the reaction (entries 7 and 8).

Finally, under the optimized conditions, several aryl iodides were applied to the sequential Suzuki−Miyaura coupling (Table 3). With *p*-iodotoluene  $(3b)$  or *p*-iodoanisole  $(3c)$ , the corresponding coupling products 4b and 4c were obtained in 60% [an](#page-3-0)d 76% yields, respectively (entries 2 and 3). We next performed the coupling reaction using iodoarenes bearing electron-withdrawing groups. With p-chloroiodobenzene (3d), 4d was obtained in 62% yield (entry 4). p-Fluoroiodobenzene (3e) and p-trifluoromethyliodobenzene (3f) could also be applied to the reaction, and the corresponding hexafurylbenzene derivatives were both obtained in 60% yield (entries 5 and 6). We next sought to construct molecules with a further  $\pi$ extended system. With the use of  $p$ -cyanoiodobenzene  $(3g)$  or 1-iodonaphthalene (1-NpI, 3h), coupling adducts 4g and 4h were obtained (92% and 88%, entries 7 and 8).

The crystal structures of 4a and 4f were confirmed by X-ray crystallography (Figure 3). While the conformation of the furan rings of these compounds was different from those of 2a−c, the six furan rings of 4a−h [w](#page-3-0)ere equivalent in NMR measurement, the same as those of 2a−c. In particular, the packing structure of 4f was quite different from those in the other compounds, probably due to the intra- and intermolecular interactions between trifluoromethyl groups. These results suggest that their furan rings would rotate freely, and their structures in the solid state highly depend on the substituents on the furan rings.

In conclusion, we have achieved the first synthesis of hexa(furan-2-yl)benzene derivatives by the  $RhCl<sub>3</sub>/i-Pr<sub>2</sub>NEt-$ 

<span id="page-3-0"></span>Table 3. Synthesis of Various Hexakis(5-arylfuran-2  $y$ l)benzenes<sup>a</sup>



 ${}^a$ Reaction conditions: 2c (0.05 mmol), iodoarene (0.35 mmol),  $Pd[P(t-Bu)<sub>3</sub>]_{2}$  (10 mol %), Cs<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (0.6 mmol), DME (1.0 mL), 100  $^{\circ}C$ , 24 h.  $^{\circ}$ Isolated yield. <sup>c</sup>Performed in 0.5 mL of DME.



Figure 3. ORTEP drawings of 4a (left) and 4f (right) (50% probability ellipsoids; hydrogen atoms omitted for clarity; trifluoromethyl groups of 4f disordered).

catalyzed cyclotrimerization of di(furan-2-yl)acetylenes. A 6 fold Bpin-substituted hexa(furan-2-yl)benzene could also be prepared by this method, and a wide variety of  $\pi$ -extended hexa(furan-2-yl)benzene derivatives were obtained by subsequent Suzuki−Miyaura coupling.

# **EXPERIMENTAL SECTION**

General Methods. Nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectra were recorded on 600 or 400 MHz in  $\mathrm{CDCl}_3$ . Chemical shifts for  $^1\mathrm{H}$ NMR are expressed in parts per million (ppm) relative to residual CHCl<sub>3</sub> in CDCl<sub>3</sub> ( $\delta$  7.26 ppm). Chemical shifts for <sup>13</sup>C NMR are expressed in ppm relative to  $CDCl<sub>3</sub>$  ( $\delta$  77.0 ppm). All new compounds were further characterized by elemental analyses or HRMS (DART), and the mass analyzer type used for HRMS was orbitrap.

2-Iodofuran and 5-methyl-2-iodofuran were prepared according to the literature.<sup>12</sup> 1,2-Dimethoxyethane (DME) was dried over MS 4A prior to use. Toluene was distilled from CaH<sub>2</sub>. Dry dimethylformamide (DMF) [a](#page-5-0)nd dry 1,4-dioxane were purchased from commercial suppliers. Unless otherwise noted, all materials were obtained from commercial suppliers and used without further purification. All reactions were performed under argon.

Synthesis of Di(furan-2-yl)acetylene (1a). To a mixture of  $Pd(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>$  (633 mg, 0.902 mmol) and CuCl (298 mg, 3.01 mmol) in DMF (60 mL) were added DBU (26.9 mL, 180 mmol) and trimethylsilylacetylene (2.1 mL, 15 mmol). To the mixture were added

2-iodofuran (5.82 g, 30.0 mmol) and distilled water (216  $\mu$ L, 12.0 mmol). The reaction vessel was covered with aluminum foil and stirred at room temperature for 22 h. The resulting mixture was extracted with diethyl ether  $(3 \times 30 \text{ mL})$ . The organic phase was washed with brine (200 mL), dried over  $MgSO_4$ , filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (hexane/EtOAc 10:1) to afford di(furan-2-yl)acetylene as a yellow oil (1.57 g, 9.95 mmol, 66%).  $^{1}$ H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  6.43 (dd, J = 3.4, 1.8 Hz, 2H), 6.71 (dd, J  $= 3.4, 0.6$  Hz, 2H), 7.44 (dd, J = 1.8, 0.6 Hz, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  83.2, 111.1, 116.5, 136.4, 144.2; IR (neat) 3145, 3131, 1494, 1462 cm<sup>-1</sup>. Anal. Calcd for C<sub>10</sub>H<sub>6</sub>O<sub>2</sub>: C, 75.94; H, 3.82. Found: C, 76.04; H, 3.76.

Synthesis of Bis(5-methylfuran-2-yl)acetylene (1b). Similar procedure to  $1$ a: yellow oil  $(1.81 \text{ g}, 9.74 \text{ mmol}, 62\%)$ ;  $^1\text{H NMR}$   $(600 \text{ m})$ MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  2.32 (brs, 6H), 6.01 (dq, J = 3.2, 1.0 Hz, 2H), 6.58  $(dd, J = 3.2, 0.4 \text{ Hz}, 2H);$  13C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  13.9, 83.3, 107.3, 117.4, 134.8, 154.3; IR (KBr) 2922, 2181, 1557, 1198, 1021, 948, 785  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ . Anal. Calcd for  $\text{C}_{12}\text{H}_{10}\text{O}_2$ : C, 77.40; H, 5.41. Found: C, 77.32; H, 5.69.

Synthesis of Bis[5-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)furan-2-yl]acetylene (1c). To a solution of di(furan-2 yl)acetylene (1.541 g, 9.75 mmol) in Et<sub>2</sub>O (100 mL) was added dropwise a solution of n-BuLi (1.6 M in hexane, 13.8 mL, 22.0 mmol) at −78 °C. The reaction mixture was then stirred at the same temperature for 30 min and then stirred at 0 °C for 2.5 h. 2- Isopropoxy-4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolane (10.2 mL, 50.0 mmol) was added to the mixture at −78 °C. The resulting mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred for 24 h. The reaction was quenched with aq saturated  $NH<sub>4</sub>Cl$  (20 mL). The aqueous phase was extracted with EtOAc  $(3 \times 10 \text{ mL})$ . The combined organic phase was washed with brine (30 mL), dried over  $MgSO<sub>4</sub>$ , filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (EtOAc) and then recrystallized from  $CH_2Cl_2/h$ exane to afford bis[5-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)furan-2-yl]acetylene (1c) as a yellow solid  $(2.83 \text{ g}, 6.91 \text{ mmol}, 71\%)$ : <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  1.34 (s, 24H), 6.67 (d, J = 3.5 Hz, 2H), 7.04 (d, J = 3.5 Hz, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl3) δ 24.7, 84.3, 84.5, 116.6, 124.0, 140.5; IR (KBr) 3125, 2980, 2934, 2870, 1756 cm<sup>−</sup><sup>1</sup> ; mp 214.5−217.5 °C. Anal. Calcd for  $C_{22}H_{28}B_2O_6$ : C, 64.44; H, 6.88. Found: C, 64.56; H, 6.84.

Hexa(furan-2-yl)benzene (2a). To a solution of  $RhCl<sub>3</sub>·3H<sub>2</sub>O$ (10.4 mg, 0.04 mmol) and *i*-Pr<sub>2</sub>NEt (26.2  $\mu$ L, 0.15 mmol) in toluene (2.0 mL) was added di(furan-2-yl)acetylene (79.0 mg, 0.50 mmol), and the mixture was stirred at 120 °C for 24 h. After being cooled to room temperature, the reaction mixture was filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (hexane/EtOAc 5:1) to afford hexa- (furan-2-yl)benzene  $(2a)$  as a yellow solid  $(39.4 \text{ mg}, 0.08 \text{ mmol}, 50\%)$ : <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  5.92 (dd, J = 3.3, 0.8 Hz, 6H), 6.20 (dd,  $J = 3.3$ , 1.8 Hz, 6H), 7.23 (dd,  $J = 1.8$ , 0.8 Hz, 6H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  109.7, 110.6, 133.9, 141.9, 150.2; IR (KBr) 2363, 2341, 1507, 1002, 731 cm<sup>−</sup><sup>1</sup> ; mp >280 °C. Anal. Calcd for  $C_{30}H_{18}O_6$ : C, 75.94; H, 3.82. Found: C, 75.85; H, 3.79.

Hexakis(5-methylfuran-2-yl)benzene (2b). To a solution of RhCl<sub>3</sub>·3H<sub>2</sub>O (10.6 mg, 0.04 mmol) and *i*-Pr<sub>2</sub>NEt (26.2  $\mu$ L, 0.15 mmol) in toluene (2.0 mL) was added bis(5-methylfuran-2-yl) acetylene (93.6 mg, 0.50 mmol), and the mixture was stirred at 130  $^{\circ}$ C for 24 h. After being cooled to room temperature, the reaction mixture was filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (hexane/EtOAc = 5:1) to afford hexakis(5-methylfuran-2-yl)benzene (2b) as a yellow solid (75.5 mg, 0.14 mmol, 81%): <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  2.13  $(d, J = 0.8 \text{ Hz}, 18 \text{ H}), 5.78 \text{ (d, } J = 3.0 \text{ Hz}, 6 \text{ H}), 5.80 \text{ (dd, } J = 0.8, 3.0)$ Hz, 6H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  13.4, 106.5, 110.2, 133.2, 149.2, 150.8; IR (KBr) 3440, 3103, 2947, 2919, 2882 cm<sup>-1</sup>; mp 149.8−152.5 °C. Anal. Calcd for C<sub>36</sub>H<sub>30</sub>O<sub>6</sub>: C, 77.40; H, 5.41. Found: C, 77.36; H, 5.11.

Synthesis of Hexakis[5-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2 dioxaboryl)furan-2-yl]benzene (2c). To a solution of  $RhCl<sub>3</sub>·3H<sub>2</sub>O$  <span id="page-4-0"></span>(10.4 mg, 0.04 mmol) and  $i$ -Pr<sub>2</sub>NEt (26.2  $\mu$ L, 0.15 mmol) in toluene (2.0 mL) was added bis[5-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaboryl)furan-2-yl]acetylene (0.206 g, 0.50 mmol), and the mixture was stirred at 120 °C for 24 h. After being cooled to room temperature, the resulting mixture was filtered through a Celite pad and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was recrystallized from  $CH_2Cl_2/h$ exane to afford hexakis[5-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaboryl)furan-2-yl] benzene  $(2c)$  as a colorless solid  $(164 \, \text{mg}, \, 0.133 \, \text{mmol}, \, 80\%)$ :  $^1\text{H}$ NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  1.26 (s, 72H), 6.04 (d, J = 3.4 Hz, 6H), 6.80 (d, J = 3.4 Hz, 6H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  24.7, 83.7, 111.5, 124.4, 133.1, 154.8; IR (KBr) 3426, 2979, 2933, 1589, 1542 cm<sup>-1</sup>; mp >280 °C; Anal. Calcd for C<sub>66</sub>H<sub>84</sub>B<sub>6</sub>O<sub>18</sub>: C, 64.44; H, 6.88. Found: C, 64.64; H 6.83.

Typical Procedure of Suzuki−Miyaura Coupling between 2c and Iodoarene (Table 3, Entry 1). To a solution of  $Pd[P(t-Bu)_3]_2$  $(2.9 \text{ mg}, 0.006 \text{ mmol})$  and  $Cs_2CO_3$  (198.7 mg, 0.60 mmol) in DME (1.0 mL) were added hexakis[5-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaboryl) furan-2-yl]benzene (2c) [\(6](#page-3-0)1.9 mg, 0.05 mmol) and iodobenzene (3a, 72.8 mg, 0.36 mmol). The mixture was stirred at 100 °C for 24 h. After being cooled to room temperature, aq saturated NH<sub>4</sub>Cl was poured into the mixture, and the aqueous phase was extracted with  $CHCl<sub>3</sub>$  (3)  $\times$  10 mL). To the combined organic phase was added EtOH (10 mL), and the mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was recrystallized from  $CHCl<sub>3</sub>/$ hexane to afford hexakis(5-phenyfuran-2-yl)benzene (4a) as a yellow solid.

Hexakis(5-phenylfuran-2-yl)benzene (4a): yellow solid (32.6 mg, 0.035 mmol, 70%); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  6.13 (d, J = 3.4 Hz, 6H), 6.46 (d, J = 3.4 Hz, 6H), 7.14−7.16 (m,18H), 7.44−7.47  $(m,12H);$  <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  106.5, 112.1, 123.7, 127.0, 128.4, 130.6, 133.8, 149.9, 153.3; IR (KBr) 3060.5, 1484.9, 1022.1, 757.9 cm<sup>−</sup><sup>1</sup> ; mp: 246.0−246.2 °C; HRMS (DART) m/z calcd for  $C_{66}H_{43}O_6$   $[M + H]^+$  931.3054, found 931.3034.

Hexakis[5-(4-methyl-phenyl)furan-2-yl]benzene (4b): yellow solid (30.0 mg, 0.030 mmol, 60%); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ 2.29 (s, 18H), 6.09 (d,  $J = 3.4$  Hz, 6H), 6.38 (d,  $J = 3.4$  Hz, 6H), 6.96  $(d, J = 8.0 \text{ Hz}, 12\text{H}), 7.33 (d, J = 8.0 \text{ Hz}, 12\text{H});$  <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3) δ 21.2, 105.8, 111.9, 123.7, 128.1, 129.0, 133.7, 136.7, 149.7, 153.4; IR (KBr) 3120.3, 3025.8, 2917.8, 2859.0, 1496.5, 783.9 cm<sup>-1</sup>; mp >280 °C; HRMS (DART)  $m/z$  calcd for C<sub>72</sub>H<sub>55</sub>O<sub>6</sub> [M + H]<sup>+</sup> 1015.3993, found 1015.3969.

Hexakis[5-(4-methoxyphenyl)furan-2-yl]benzene (4c): yellow solid (42.6 mg, 0.038 mmol, 76%); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ 3.76 (s, 18H), 6.08 (d, J = 3.4 Hz, 6H), 6.32 (d, J = 3.4 Hz, 6H), 6.70  $(d, J = 8.8 \text{ Hz}, 12\text{H}), 7.39 \ (d, J = 8.8 \text{ Hz}, 12\text{H});$  <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3) δ 55.2, 105.0, 111.9, 113.8, 123.9, 125.2, 133.6, 149.5, 153.2, 158.7; IR (KBr) 3126.0, 3001.7, 2940.0, 2834.9, 1497.5, 1251.6 cm<sup>-1</sup>; mp >280 °C; HRMS (DART)  $m/z$  calcd for  $C_{72}H_{55}O_{12}$   $[M + H]^+$ 1111.3688, found 1111.3664.

Hexakis[5-(4-chlorophenyl)furan-2-yl]benzene (4d): yellow solid (35.3 mg, 0.031 mmol, 62%); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ 6.12 (d, J = 3.4 Hz, 6H), 6.44 (d, J = 3.4 Hz, 6H), 6.15 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 12H), 7.32 (d,  $J = 8.7$  Hz, 12H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR could not be measured due to the low solubility; IR (KBr) 3125.1, 1480.1, 1093.4, 787.8 cm<sup>−1</sup>; mp >280 °C; HRMS (DART)  $m/z$  calcd for C<sub>66</sub>H<sub>37</sub>O<sub>6</sub>Cl<sub>6</sub> [M + H]<sup>+</sup> 1135.0716, found 1135.0696.

Hexakis[5-(4-fluorophenyl)furan-2-yl]benzene (4e): yellow solid (31.5 mg, 0.030 mmol, 60%); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ 6.11 (d, J = 3.4 Hz, 6H), 6.39 (d, J = 3.4 Hz, 6H), 6.87 (dd, J = 8.8 Hz,  $J_{\text{H-F}}$  = 8.8 Hz 12H), 7.38 (dd, J = 8.8, 5.3 Hz, 12H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  106.1, 115.5 (d, J<sub>C−F</sub> = 22 Hz), 112.2, 125.4 (d, J<sub>C−F</sub> = 8.0 Hz), 126.8 (d, J<sub>C−F</sub> = 3.4 Hz), 133.6, 149.8, 152.5, 162.0 (d, J<sub>C−F</sub> = 246.0 Hz); IR (KBr) 3452.0, 1495.5, 1233.6, 788.7 cm<sup>-1</sup>; mp >280 °C; HRMS (DART)  $m/z$  calcd for  $C_{66}H_{37}O_6F_6$  [M + H]<sup>+</sup> 1039.2489, found 1039.2464.

Hexakis[5-(4-trifluorometylphenyl)furan-2-yl]benzene (4f): yellow solid (40.8 mg, 0.030 mmol, 60%); <sup>1</sup> H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  6.20 (d, J = 3.5 Hz, 6H), 6.58 (d, J = 3.5 Hz, 6H), 7.40 (d, J  $= 8.3$  Hz, 12H), 7.49 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 12H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  108.4, 112.8, 123.5, 124.0 (q, J<sub>C−F</sub> = 270.6 Hz), 125.5 (q,  $J_{C-F}$  = 3.9 Hz), 129.1 (q,  $J_{C-F}$  = 32.5 Hz), 133.2 (d,  $J_{C-F}$  = 1.1 Hz),

133.7, 150.4, 152.1; IR (KBr) 1617.0, 1323.9, 1172.5, 1122.4, 1073.2 cm<sup>-1</sup>; mp >280 °C; HRMS (DART) *m/z* calcd for  $C_{72}H_{36}O_6F_{18}$  [M<sup>+</sup>] 1338.2219, found 1338.2198.

Hexakis[5-(4-cyanophenyl)furan-2-yl]benzene (4g): yellow solid (50.0 mg, 0.046 mmol, 92%); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ 6.22 (d, J = 3.5 Hz, 6H), 6.64 (d, J = 3.5 Hz, 6H), 7.42 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 12H), 7.47 (d,  $J = 8.5$  Hz, 12H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ 109.5, 110.6, 113.3, 118.6, 123.6, 132.5, 133.4, 133.7, 150.7, 151.7; mp >280 °C; HRMS (DART)  $m/z$  calcd for  $C_{72}H_{36}O_6N_6$   $[M^+]$ 1080.2691, found 1080.2712.

Hexakis[5-(naphthalen-1-yl)furan-2-yl]benzene (4h): yellow solid (53.7 mg, 0.044 mmol, 88%); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ 6.39 (d, J = 3.2 Hz, 6H), 6.68 (d, J = 3.2 Hz, 6H), 7.15−7.22 (m, 12H), 7.33 (t,  $J = 8.0$  Hz, 6H), 7.45 (d,  $J = 6.4$  Hz, 6H), 7.68 (d,  $J =$ 8.0 Hz, 6H), 7.77 (d,  $J = 8.0$  Hz, 6H), 8.19 (t,  $J = 8.6$  Hz, 6H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 110.7, 112.3, 125.2, 125.5, 125.6, 125.9, 126.4, 128.0, 128.1, 128.2, 129.8, 133.8, 134.1, 150.3, 152.9; IR (KBr) 3048.9, 1395.3, 788.7, 772.4 cm<sup>−</sup><sup>1</sup> ; mp 216.3−217.0 °C; HRMS (DART)  $m/z$  calcd for  $C_{90}H_{55}O_6$  [M + H]<sup>+</sup> 1231.3993, found 1231.3981.

## ■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

#### **6** Supporting Information

Spectral data for all new compounds; X-ray data for 1c, 2a−c, and 4a,f (CIF). This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

## ■ AUTH[OR INFORMATIO](http://pubs.acs.org)N

#### Corresponding Author

\*E-mail: mitsudo@cc.okayama-u.ac.jp, suga@cc.okayama-u.ac. jp.

#### **Notes**

The auth[ors](mailto:mitsudo@cc.okayama-u.ac.jp) [declare](mailto:mitsudo@cc.okayama-u.ac.jp) [no](mailto:mitsudo@cc.okayama-u.ac.jp) [competing](mailto:mitsudo@cc.okayama-u.ac.jp) fina[ncial](mailto:suga@cc.okayama-u.ac.jp) [interest.](mailto:suga@cc.okayama-u.ac.jp)

#### [■](mailto:suga@cc.okayama-u.ac.jp) ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported in by a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research on Innovative Areas "Organic Synthesis based on Reaction Integration" (No. 2105) from MEXT, Japan, by a Grant-in-Aid for Young Scientists (B) from JSPS, Japan, and by the Collaborative Research Program of the Institute for Chemical Research, Kyoto University (grant no. 2012-31). We thank Prof. Jun-ichi Yoshida and Dr. Keiko Kuwata in Kyoto University for HRMS measurements. We are also grateful to the SC-NMR Laboratory of Okayama University for the NMR measurements.

#### ■ REFERENCES

(1) For recent reviews, see: (a) Bunz, U. H. F. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 5037−5040. (b) Brown, R. C. D. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 850−852.

(2) (a) Yuan, J.; Huang, X.; Zhang, F.; Lu, J.; Zhai, Z.; Di, C.; Jiang, Z.; Ma, W. J. Mater. Chem. 2012, 22, 22734−22742. (b) Niimi, K.; Mori, H.; Miyazaki, E.; Osaka, I.; Kakizoe, H.; Takimiya, K.; Adachi, C. Chem. Commun. 2012, 48, 5892−5894. (c) Mitsui, C.; Soeda, J.; Miwa, K.; Tsuji, H.; Takeya, J.; Nakamura, E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 5448−5451. (d) Gidron, O.; Dadvand, A.; Sheynin, Y.; Bendikov, M.; Perepichka, D. F. Chem. Commun. 2011, 47, 1976−1978. (e) Liu, C.- C.; Mao, S.-W.; Kuo, M.-Y. J. Phys. Chem. C 2010, 114, 22316−22321. (f) Idzik, K. R.; Beckert, R.; Golba, S.; Ledwon, P.; Lapkowski, M. Tetrahedron Lett. 2010, 51, 2396−2399.

(3) For recent examples, see: (a) Yiu, A. T.; Beaujuge, P. M.; Lee, O. P.; Woo, C. H.; Toney, M. F.; Frechet, J. M. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 2180−2185. (b) Sonar, P.; Singh, S. P.; Williams, E. L.; Li, Y.; Soh, M. S.; Dodabalapur, A. J. Mater. Chem. 2012, 22, 4425−4435. (c) Qu, S.; Wang, B.; Guo, F.; Li, J.; Wu, W.; Kong, C.; Long, Y.; Hua, J. Dyes Pigm. 2012, 92, 1384−1393. (d) Niimi, K.; Mori, H.; Miyazaki,

#### <span id="page-5-0"></span>The Journal of Organic Chemistry Note

E.; Osaka, I.; Kakizoe, H.; Takimiya, K.; Adachi, C. Chem. Commun. 2012, 48, 5892−5894. (e) Mao, J.; Guo, F.; Ying, W.; Wu, W.; Li, J.; Hua, J. Chem.-Asian J. 2012, 7, 982-991. (f) Li, Y.; Sonar, P.; Singh, S. P.; Ooi, Z. E.; Lek, E. S. H.; Loh, M. Q. Y. Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 2012, 14, 7162−7169. (g) Chen, X.; Liu, B.; Zou, Y.; Xiao, L.; Guo, X.; He, Y.; Li, Y. J. Mater. Chem. 2012, 22, 17724−17731. (h) Wang, X.; Chen, S.; Sun, Y.; Zhang, M.; Li, Y.; Li, X.; Wang, H. Polym. Chem. 2011, 2, 2872−2877. (i) Li, Z.; Ding, J. Macromol. Chem. Phys. 2011, 212, 2260−2267. (j) Bijleveld, J. C.; Karsten, B. P.; Mathijssen, S. G. J.; Wienk, M. M.; de, L. D. M.; Janssen, R. A. J. J. Mater. Chem. 2011, 21, 1600−1606.

(4) For a review, see: Baumganter, T.; Reau, R. Chem. Rev. 2006, 106, 4681−4727.

(5) (a) Chebny, V. J.; Shukla, R.; Rathore, R. J. Phys. Chem. A 2006, 110, 13003−13006. (b) Rosokha, S. V.; Neretin, I. S.; Kochi, J. K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 9394-9407. (c) Mamane, V.; Gref, A.; Lefloch, F.; Riant, O. J. Organomet. Chem. 2001, 637, 84−88.

(6) For reviews, see: (a) Schmaltz, B.; Weil, T.; Müllen, K. Adv. Mater. 2009, 21, 1067−1078. (b) Grimsdale, A. C.; Müllen, K. Adv. Polym. Sci. 2008, 212, 1−48. (c) Grimsdale, A. C.; Müllen, K. Macromol. Rapid Commun. 2007, 28, 1676−1702.

(7) (a) Lu, P.; Cai, G.; Li, J.; Weber, W. P. J. Heterocycl. Chem. 2002, 39, 91−92. (b) Geng, Y.; Fechtenkotter, A.; Müllen, K. J. Mater. Chem. 2001, 11, 1634−1641. (c) Wu, I.-Y.; Lin, J. T.; Tao, Y.-T.; Balasubramaniam, E. Adv. Mater. 2000, 12, 668−669. (d) Yoshida, K.; Morimoto, I.; Mitsudo, K.; Tanaka, H. Tetrahedron 2008, 64, 5800−5807. (e) Yoshida, K.; Morimoto, I.; Mitsudo, K.; Tanaka, H. Tetrahedron Lett. 2008, 49, 2363−2365.

(8) (a) Breslow, R.; Crispino, G. A. Tetrahedron Lett. 1991, 32, 601− 604. (b) Newkome, G. R.; Islam, N. B.; Robinson, J. M. J. Org. Chem. 1975, 40, 3514−3518.

(9) (a) Vargova, A.; Hrncarikova, K.; Vegh, D.; Lukes, V.; Fedorko, P.; Rapta, P. Electrochim. Acta 2007, 52, 7885−7894. (b) Lazerges, M.; Jouini, M.; Hapiot, P.; Guiriec, P.; Lacaze, P. C. J. Phys. Chem. A 2003, 107, 5042−5048. (c) Biemans, H. A. M.; Zhang, C.; Smith, P.; Kooijman, H.; Smeets, W. J. J.; Spek, A. L.; Meijer, E. W. J. Org. Chem. 1996, 61, 9012−9015.

(10) For reviews, see: (a) Heller, B.; Hapke, M. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2007, 36, 1085−1094. (b) Chopade, P. R.; Louie, J. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2006, 348, 2307−2327. (c) Kotha, S.; Brahmachary, E.; Lahiri, K. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2005, 4741−4767. (d) Saito, S.; Yamamoto, Y. Chem. Rev. 2000, 100, 2901−2915.

(11) For recent reports on Rh-catalyzed  $[2 + 2 + 2]$  cycloadditions, see: (a) Shibata, T.; Miyoshi, M.; Uchiyama, T.; Endo, K.; Miura, N.; Monde, K. Tetrahedron 2012, 68, 2679−2686. (b) Parera, M.; Dachs, A.; Solà, M.; Pla-Quintana, A.; Roglans, A. Chem.-Eur. J. 2012, 18, 13097−13107. (c) Garcia, L.; Roglans, A.; Laurent, R.; Majoral, J.-P.; Pla-Quintana, A.; Caminade, A.-M. Chem. Commun. 2012, 48, 9248− 9250. (d) Brun, S.; Torrent, A.; Pla-Quintana, A.; Roglans, A.; Fontrodona, X.; Benet-Buchholz, J.; Parella, T. Organometallics 2012, 31, 318−326. (e) Zhang, K.; Louie, J. J. Org. Chem. 2011, 76, 4686− 4691. (f) Suda, T.; Noguchi, K.; Tanaka, K. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 4475−4479. (g) Shibata, T.; Chiba, T.; Hirashima, H.; Ueno, Y.; Endo, K. Heteroatom. Chem. 2011, 22, 363−370. (h) Wang, Y.-H.; Huang, S.-H.; Lin, T.-C.; Tsai, F.-Y. Tetrahedron 2010, 66, 7136−7141. (i) Friedman, R. K.; Oberg, K. M.; Dalton, D. M.; Rovis, T. Pure Appl. Chem. 2010, 82, 1353−1364. (j) Konno, T.; Moriyasu, K.; Kinugawa, R.; Ishihara, T. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2010, 8, 1718−1724. (12) Carman, C. S.; Koser, G. F. J. Org. Chem. 1983, 48, 2534−2539.